

MINI REVIEW



## Enhancing coral reef monitoring: Integrating ecological, genetic, and oceanographic data

Supriya Mohanty

Department of Agriculture, Agropolytechnic Centre - OUAT, Rourkela, Odisha, India

### ABSTRACT

Coral reef ecosystems are vital for coastal protection, marine biodiversity, and economic benefits. However, they face severe threats from climate change, notably coral bleaching. This review evaluates current coral reef monitoring methodologies, including ecological, genetic, and oceanographic data collection. It highlights recent advancements in remote sensing, bioinformatics, and community engagement, and examines successful integrative monitoring projects like the GBRIMRP and CREWS. Key challenges in data integration, such as technical harmonization, data management, and interdisciplinary collaboration, are discussed. The review proposes future directions focusing on standardization, sustainable funding, and policy implications to enhance coral reef conservation efforts.

### KEYWORDS

Coral Bleaching; Remote sensing; Bioinformatics; Data integration

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 5 June 2024;  
Revised 21 June 2024;  
Accepted 29 June 2024

### Introduction

Coral reef ecosystems are among the most diverse and productive on Earth, providing essential services such as coastal protection, habitat for marine species, and economic benefits through tourism and fisheries [1]. Despite their importance, these ecosystems are under severe threat from climate change, with rising sea temperatures leading to widespread coral bleaching and mortality. The loss of coral cover not only disrupts the ecological balance but also impairs the structural complexity of reefs, which is crucial for supporting diverse marine life [2].

The urgency to address these issues is underscored by the projected increase in the frequency and severity of bleaching events, necessitating a comprehensive understanding of reef dynamics and resilience. Current research emphasizes the need for long-term monitoring and data integration to assess the broader ecological impacts and to develop effective conservation strategies [1]. This review aims to critically evaluate existing monitoring methodologies, identify gaps in knowledge, and propose future directions for integrated monitoring systems. These systems should encompass ecological, genetic, and oceanographic data to provide a holistic view of reef health and resilience, thereby informing better management and conservation practices [2]. This review critically evaluates current coral reef monitoring methodologies, focusing on ecological, genetic, and oceanographic data collection techniques. Recent advancements in remote sensing, bioinformatics, and community engagement were analyzed. Data was sourced from peer-reviewed journals, institutional reports, and case studies of successful integrative monitoring projects. The review aims to identify gaps and propose future directions, emphasizing the integration of diverse data types to provide a comprehensive view of reef health and resilience. Current approaches to coral

reef monitoring employ a combination of traditional, genetic, and oceanographic methods to assess and protect these vital ecosystems [3].

Traditional methods such as visual surveys and photogrammetry play a crucial role in assessing reef health. Visual surveys involve divers recording observations on species abundance and health directly underwater, which provides immediate, albeit subjective, data on reef conditions [4]. Photogrammetry, particularly Large-Area Imaging (LAI), enhances this by using Structure-from-Motion (SfM) software to create detailed 3D models of the reef. This technique allows for precise, high-resolution monitoring over time, capturing changes in reef structure and composition [5].

Genetic tools, such as DNA barcoding and environmental DNA (eDNA), have revolutionized biodiversity studies. DNA barcoding involves identifying species based on genetic sequences, which helps in cataloguing biodiversity and detecting invasive species [4]. eDNA, which collects genetic material shed by organisms into the environment, enables the detection of species presence and abundance without the need for direct observation. This is particularly useful in monitoring cryptic or rare species, contributing to more comprehensive biodiversity assessments.

The collection of oceanographic parameters like temperature, salinity, and currents is integral to understanding the environmental conditions affecting coral reefs. These parameters are often collected using remote sensing technologies and in situ measurements. For example, NOAA's Coral Reef Watch utilizes satellite data to monitor sea surface temperatures, predicting and tracking coral bleaching events caused by thermal stress. Such data help identify stressors and inform management strategies aimed at mitigating the impacts of climate change on coral reefs [6,7].

\*Correspondence: Ms. Supriya Mohanty, Department of Agriculture, Agropolytechnic Centre - OUAT, Rourkela, Odisha, India. e-mail: [supriyam7437@gmail.com](mailto:supriyam7437@gmail.com)

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Reseapro Journals. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Remote Sensing and Autonomous Systems

Significant progress has been made in satellite and drone technologies for large-scale reef monitoring. Satellite remote sensing, for instance, offers comprehensive spatial coverage, allowing for the monitoring of extensive reef areas over time. These systems can capture data on sea surface temperature, water quality, and coral bleaching events with high precision [8]. Drones and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) provide high-resolution imagery and flexibility in data collection, crucial for detailed monitoring of reef structures and health indicators. Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) also play a vital role, enabling the collection of environmental parameters and imagery in deeper waters, beyond the reach of traditional survey methods [9].

## Bioinformatics and Big Data

The integration of bioinformatics tools with ecological and oceanographic datasets has opened new avenues for reef research. Bioinformatics enables the analysis of genetic data, such as DNA barcoding and environmental DNA (eDNA), to assess biodiversity and monitor species distribution [4]. These tools facilitate the integration of large, complex datasets, providing insights into genetic diversity, population dynamics, and ecological interactions. The application of big data analytics allows researchers to merge genetic information with environmental data, enhancing our understanding of how environmental changes impact reef ecosystems [10].

## Citizen Science and Community Engagement

Citizen science initiatives and local community involvement are increasingly recognized for their contributions to data collection and reef monitoring. These initiatives empower local communities to engage in scientific research, collecting valuable data on reef health and biodiversity [8]. Platforms like Reef Check involve volunteers in monitoring coral health, providing large datasets that complement professional scientific surveys. Community engagement not only increases data collection capacity but also fosters environmental stewardship and awareness, crucial for the long-term conservation of reef ecosystems. In conclusion, advancements in remote sensing technologies, bioinformatics, and community engagement are revolutionizing coral reef monitoring. These innovations enhance our ability to collect, integrate, and analyze data on a large scale, providing critical insights needed to protect and restore these vulnerable ecosystems [11].

## Successful Integrative Monitoring Projects

The Great Barrier Reef Integrated Monitoring and Reporting Program (GBRIMRP) is a notable example of a successful integrative coral reef monitoring project. This program combines data from a variety of sources, including satellite remote sensing, underwater sensors, and manual surveys, to provide a comprehensive view of reef health. It monitors physical, chemical, and biological parameters to assess the impact of environmental changes and human activities on the reef. One significant achievement of GBRIMRP is its early detection and management of coral bleaching events, helping mitigate the damage through timely interventions [8]. Similarly, the Coral Reef Early Warning System (CREWS) in the Caribbean employs integrated monitoring techniques to track sea temperature, salinity, and other oceanographic data. CREWS uses this data to predict and respond to coral bleaching

events, demonstrating how integrated monitoring can enhance reef conservation efforts [12].

## Future Directions and Recommendations

### Standardization and protocol development

A critical future direction for coral reef monitoring is the establishment of standardized methodologies and protocols. The lack of uniformity in data collection methods, formats, and scales often hampers the integration and comparison of datasets across different regions and studies. Developing standardized protocols for both in situ and remote sensing techniques is essential. This includes setting guidelines for the use of drones, satellites, and autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs), ensuring consistent data quality and compatibility. Moreover, creating global databases that adhere to these standards would facilitate the sharing and analysis of data, enhancing our understanding of coral reef dynamics on a global scale. Collaborative efforts among international organizations, research institutions, and governmental bodies are necessary to establish and implement these protocols effectively [13,14].

### Long-term funding and sustainability

Securing long-term funding is crucial for the sustainability of coral reef monitoring programs. Short-term projects often lack the continuity needed to observe long-term trends and impacts. Future research should focus on building sustainable financial models that combine public funding, private investments, and community-based initiatives. Innovative funding mechanisms, such as conservation trust funds and payment for ecosystem services (PES) schemes, can provide stable financial support [15,16]. Additionally, integrating reef monitoring with tourism and education sectors can generate additional revenue streams while raising awareness and promoting conservation. Long-term funding not only supports continuous monitoring but also allows for the development and maintenance of advanced technologies and infrastructure essential for comprehensive reef assessments.

### Policy and management implications

Effective policy and management strategies are pivotal in addressing the challenges faced by coral reefs. Research should focus on translating scientific findings into actionable policies that can be implemented at local, national, and international levels. Policymakers need to prioritize the protection of coral reefs by enforcing regulations on activities such as overfishing, coastal development, and pollution. Integrating scientific data into marine spatial planning and protected area management can enhance the resilience of coral reef ecosystems. Additionally, fostering collaborations between scientists, policymakers, and local communities ensures that management strategies are both scientifically sound and socially acceptable. Adaptive management approaches, which involve regularly updating policies based on the latest scientific data and monitoring results, are essential for responding to the dynamic nature of coral reef ecosystems and the impacts of climate change [17].

Future research must focus on the intersection of science, policy, and community engagement to develop holistic strategies for coral reef conservation. By standardizing protocols, ensuring sustainable funding, and informing policy with robust scientific data, we can enhance the effectiveness of coral reef monitoring and management efforts [18].

## Conclusions

Coral reef ecosystems, renowned for their biodiversity and ecological importance, face increasing threats from climate change and human activities. Protecting these vital ecosystems requires robust monitoring strategies that integrate ecological, genetic, and oceanographic data. Recent advancements in remote sensing, bioinformatics, and community engagement have significantly enhanced our capacity to monitor and manage coral reefs. Projects like the Great Barrier Reef Integrated Monitoring and Reporting Program (GBRIMRP) and the Coral Reef Early Warning System (CREWS) demonstrate the efficacy of integrated monitoring approaches.

Standardized methodologies and protocols are crucial for harmonizing data collection and ensuring consistency across studies, facilitating global databases for better data sharing and comparative analyses. Securing long-term funding through innovative financial models and integrating monitoring with tourism and education can ensure program sustainability. Effective policies and management strategies, informed by scientific data and community engagement, are essential for coral reef resilience.

Future research should focus on holistic strategies that address the intersection of science, policy, and community engagement. Leveraging advanced technologies and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration will enhance coral reef monitoring and conservation efforts, safeguarding these critical ecosystems for future generations.

## Disclosure Statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

## References

1. Hoegh-Guldberg O, Kennedy EV, Beyer HL, McClennen C, Possingham HP. Securing a long-term future for coral reefs. *Trends Ecol Evol.* 2018;33(12):936-944. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.09.006>
2. Eddy TD, Lam VW, Reygondeau G, Cisneros-Montemayor AM, Greer K, Palomares ML, et al. Global decline in capacity of coral reefs to provide ecosystem services. *One Earth.* 2021;4(9):1278-1285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.08.016>
3. Harris DL, Rovere A, Casella E, Power H, Canavesio R, Collin A, et al. Coral reef structural complexity provides important coastal protection from waves under rising sea levels. *Sci Adv.* 2018;4(2):eaao4350. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aao4350>
4. Apprill A, Girdhar Y, Mooney TA, Hansel CM, Long MH, Liu Y, et al. Toward a new era of coral reef monitoring. *Environ Sci Tech.* 2023;57(13):5117-5124. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c05369>
5. Edwards C, Viehman T, Battista T, Bollinger M, Charendoff J, Cook S, et al. Large-area imaging in tropical shallow water coral reef monitoring, research and restoration: A practical guide to survey planning, execution, and data extraction. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS. 2023;(313). <https://doi.org/10.25923/5n6d-kx34>
6. Heron SF, Johnston L, Liu G, Geiger EF, Maynard JA, De La Cour JL, et al. Validation of reef-scale thermal stress satellite products for coral bleaching monitoring. *Remote Sens.* 2016;8(1):59. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8010059>
7. Avazpour I, Grundy J, Zhu L. Engineering complex data integration, harmonization and visualization systems. *J Ind Inf Integr.* 2019;16:100103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jii.2019.08.001>
8. Devlin MJ, Petus C, Oubelkheir K. Special Issue Overview: Advances in remote sensing and mapping for integrated studies of reef ecosystems in oceania (Great Barrier Reef and Beyond). *Remote Sens.* 2023;15(10):2505. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15102505>
9. Bremner J, Petus C, Dolphin T, Hawes J, Beguet B, Devlin MJ. A seagrass mapping toolbox for South Pacific environments. *Remote Sens.* 2023;15(3):834. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15030834>
10. Palaniswami M, Rao AS, Bainbridge S. Real-time monitoring of the great barrier reef using internet of things with big data analytics. *ITU J. ICT Discov.* 2017;1(1).
11. Obura DO, Aeby G, Amorntthamarong N, Appeltans W, Bax N, Bishop J, et al. Coral reef monitoring, reef assessment technologies, and ecosystem-based management. *Front Mar Sci.* 2019;6:580. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00580>
12. Hickey SM, Radford B, Roelfsema CM, Joyce KE, Wilson SK, Marrable D, et al. Between a reef and a hard place: capacity to map the next coral reef catastrophe. *Front Mar Sci.* 2020;7:544290. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.544290>
13. Wicquart J, Gudka M, Obura D, Logan M, Staub F, Souter D, et al. A workflow to integrate ecological monitoring data from different sources. *Ecol Inform.* 2022;68:101543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2021.101543>
14. Llewellyn LE, Bainbridge SJ. Getting up close and personal: the need to immerse autonomous vehicles in coral reefs. In *OCEANS 2015-MTS/IEEE Washington* 2015;1-9. IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.23919/OCEANS.2015.7401909>
15. Victorine R, Meyers D, Bohorquez J, Box S, Blythe J, Callow M, et al. Conservation Finance for Coral Reefs: A Vibrant Oceans Initiative Whitepaper. 2022 <https://doi.org/10.19121/2022.Report.43864>
16. Williams SH, Scriven SA, Burslem DF, Hill JK, Reynolds G, Agama AL, et al. Incorporating connectivity into conservation planning for the optimal representation of multiple species and ecosystem services. *Biol Conserv.* 2020;34(4):934-942. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13450>
17. Comte A, Pendleton LH. Management strategies for coral reefs and people under global environmental change: 25 years of scientific research. *Environ Manag.* 2018;209:462-474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.12.051>
18. Harvey BJ, Nash KL, Blanchard JL, Edwards DP. Ecosystem-based management of coral reefs under climate change. *Ecol Evol.* 2018;8(12):6354-6368. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4146>